

RESEARCH ARTICLE

THE INFLUENCE OF COMPENSATION, OVERHEAD EXPENSE, CONTROL INTERNAL SYSTEM TOWARD PROJECT VALUE COMPLETION PERCENTAGE.

Bambang Fajarisman and Rahayu.

University of Prof Dr. Moestopo (B), Jakarta, Indonesia.

Manuscript Info

Abstract

Manuscript History Received: 10 August 2018 Final Accepted: 12 September 2018 Published: October 2018

*Keywords:-*Compensation,Overhead expense, Control internal System , Project value completion percentage . Company only accepts the payment 80 % of the project value. Therefore, company needs to improve the project management. This study is held in PT QST in order to answer the hypotheses of this study (1) Compensation influence toward the completion percentage of project value of PT. QST (2) Overhead expense influence toward completion percentage of project value of PT QST, (3) control internal system influence toward completion percentage of project of PT. QST.

This study method is the combination of verivicative and descriptive study in data collection using survey method, with the sampling number of 238 employees of PT QST who were in the project location by using purpose sampling technique. Analysis tool used is descriptive method and to respond the hypotheses the analysis tool used is Structural Equation Model by using Listrel software.

The result of this research based on the analysis descriptive method toward the variables used are negative and some others are positive. The SEM analysis result toward the hypotheses test based on emphirical data has 3 proved hypotheses: Compensation influences positively and significantly toward the percentage of project value completion. Overhead expense influences positively and significantly toward the percentage of project value completion and Control Internal System influences positively toward the percentage of project value completion

Copy Right, IJAR, 2018,. All rights reserved.

Introduction:-

Nowadays, government through the Ministry of Public Work actively builds resources and infrastructures all over Indonesia, to the remote areas and Indonesia borderline that have never been reached by the development so they fall behind. This development is held in order to improve the remote region development. The government objective is to increase the region and borderline area development. There are many parties involved in this infrastructure development including several State Owned Enterprises and private companies. Private company cooperated in assisting the project is PT QST.

.....

PT QST is a contractor company in making government sub projects. PT QST cooperates with PT. PLN, PT Pertaminaand other SOEs. The government project worked on is project with billions value and its implementation is done gradually. The agreement result between two parties stated about payment received by the company is in

Corresponding Author:-Bambang Fajarisman and Rahayu. Address:-University of Prof Dr. Moestopo (B), Jakarta, Indonesia. every completion of the project. Therefore, it will be achieved from the SOE involved according to the percentage of the project completion stage achieved. If the project values Rp.4.000.000.000,- done in 4 stages for 4 months, every 1 month the company will receive the payment of Rp.1.000.000.000. What happened in the field is if in 4 months, PT QST only finishes 80%, then the company only receives Rp. 800.000.000. If the payment schedule is extended, the completion schedule of the project will change to 5 months.

Company uses some experts and field workers in doing the project. They are approximately hundreds of people. The workers work on the field directly are hard to control in term of presence or attendance so that the completion of the project can be behind the schedule. Compensation closely relates to working motivation, it shows that if we want to improve working motivation then the compensation must be increased (Poltak, 2007)

In project location, workers get the facilities during the project completion such as rented house, project vehicles, transportation allowance in the location. The parties involeved in the project are head of the project, project manager, supervisor and field workers that directly do the project. Project done is supervised by the experts. The SOP of the project does not include internal control in the project (Pre-study).

Based on the phenomenon above, the title of this study is The Impact of Compensation, Overhead Expense, Control Internal toward the Project Value Completion Percentage.

Identification and StudyFramework

Based on the problem identification , the literature rewiew " Is there any influence on Compensation, Overhead Expense, Control Internal System toward Project Value Completion Percentage the with explanation as follow :

- 1. Is there any influence on the compensation o project value completion percentage
- 2. Is there any influence on overhead expenseto project value completion percentage
- 3. Is there any influence oncontrol Internal good to project value completion percentage

Literature :

Project Value Completion Percentage

Earl et.al. (2009) stated that in the completion percentage method, a profit of a contract is when the contract in the process to the completion. Therefore, the profit accepted each period will be based on the measurement to completion.

To measure the project value completion percentage through the dimension that is contract selling price, profit and contract expense (Earl K et.al., 2007)

Compensation

Compensation is one of the reasons and main motivation of why a worker works. Compensation is a management of overall service recompense to employees in form of money or others (Poltak, 2016)

Compensation dimensions are salary, wage and incentive (Poltak 2016)

Overhead Expense

Overhead expense is the expense other than raw materials and labor (Mulyadi, 2002)

Overhead expense dimensions are supporting materials expense, maintanance and reparation expense, indirect labor expense and expenses that directly need cash outlay (Mulyadi, 2002)

Control Internal System

Zaki (1991) explains that a good surveliance system must include an organization structure that divides the correct functional responsibility, the existence of system and procedure, wholesome practices and the employee skills according to the responsibility (Zaki,1991)

The dimensions used in measuring control internal system are surveilance system, resposibility disseverance, system and procedure and skillful employees.

Hypotheses

The influence on Compensation to Project Value Completion Percentage

H1 : There is a positive influence on compensation to project value completion percentage

The influence on Overhead Expense to Project Value Completion Percentage

H2 : There is a positive influence on overhead expense to project value completion percentage

The influence on Control Internal Systemto Project Value Completion Percentage

H3 : There is a positive influence on control internal system to project value completion percentage

Study Concept

In accorandce with study context, study conceptual model then being madethat are

the Infulenceof Compensation, Overhead Expense, Control Internal System together with Project Value Completion Percentage and the analysis tool used is SEM with the research object the employees of PT QSTFigure 1.



Gambar 1:- The conceptual model

Study Methodology :

The design of this study is the combination of verivicative and descriptive research by using survey method in form of qualitative and quantitative methods (Sugiono, 2010). The data collection uses questionnaire, sampling technique used is purpose sampling method (Sugiono, 2009). The respondents were 235 persons that are the employees of PT QST that were on the project location held in the mid of 2018. Analysis tool used in the variables of this study is average value, statistic percentage, and inter variable impact analysis uses Structural Equation Model (SEM) and Lisrel 8.8. (Hair, 2010).

Study Result :

Descriptive Analysis

The average result describes that respondents consider the compensation and control internal system are still problematic while the respondent overhead expense and project value completion percentage is good

Inter - Variable Influence Analysis

- 1. Imperical model (fit) is fitted with the theoretical model (Hair,2010), the test result of accuracy model shows that from 9 indexes there are eight indexes that has good fit category (RMSA, RMR, AGFI,NFI,CFI,IFI,RIF,AGF) and one marginal fit index (GFI).
- 2. The output of the computer on test hypotheses related to theinfluence of Compensation, Overhead Expense, Control Internal System toward Project Value Completion Percentage Figure 2 dan 3.



Chi-Square = 475.41, df=197, P-value=0.00000, RMSEA= 0.081





Chi-Square = 475.41, df=197, P-value=0.00000, RMSEA= 0.081





Figure 4:- Study Result Structural Model

Table 1. is the summary of analysis result of the impact of one variable to another according to Figure 4. Below is the significance level:

- 1. Using distribution t-table compared to t value based on t count result on hypotheses
- 2. Using distribution f-table compared to f value based on t count result of hypotheses

Hipotesis	Influence	Toward variable		Coefficient	t-value	Information
1	Compensation	Project V	/alue	0,44	5,31	Signifikan influence
		Completion				
		Percentage				
2	Overhead expense	Project V	alue	0,51	2,27	Signifikan influence
		Completion				
		Percentage				
3	Control Internal Sytem	Project V	⁷ alue	0,67	3,71	Signifikan influence
		Completion				
		Percentage				
				(R ²)	f-hitung	
	Compensation, Overhead	Project V	/alue	$(R^2) = 0,71$	5.38	Signifikan influence
	Expense, Control	Completion				
	Internal System	Percentage				

Table 1:-The Amount ofInfluence Between Variabes

Sources:-Computer output

Compensation to Project value completion percentage (H1)

Compensation ---> Project Value Completion Percentage



Sumber: Computer output (2018)

Ho : There is influenceCompensation to Project Value Completion Percentage Ha : There is no influence Compensation to Project Value Completion Percentage Ho denied, which means there is significant compensation value to project value completion percentage on PT QST.

So, it means t-count value about 5,13>t-table value 1,96, then **Ho is denied**, which means there is a significant influence of compensation to project value compensation percentage on PT QST.

Overhead expense to Project value completion percentage (H2)

Overhead Expense \longrightarrow Project Value Completion Percentage



Source : Computer output

Ho : There is Overhead expenses to Project Value Completion Percentage

Ha : There is no Overhead expenses to Project Value Completion Percentage

Ho denied, which means there is significant overhead expense value to project value completion percentage on PT QST.

So, it means t-count value about 2,27>t-table value 1,96, then **Ho is denied**, which means there is a significant influence of overhead expense to project value compensation percentage on PT QST.

The Control internal system to Project Value Completion Percentage (H3)

The Control internal system - Project Value Completion Percentage



Source : Computer output

Ho : There is Control Internal Sytem to Project Value Completion Percentage

Ha: There is no Control Internal Sytemto Project Value Completion Percentage

Ho denied, which means there is significant control internal system value to project value completion percentage on PT QST.

So, it means t-count value about 3,37>t-table value 1,96, then **Ho is denied**, which means there is a significant influenceof control internal system to project value compensation percentage on PT QST.

Research Result:-

- 1. Descriptive study result shows that there are positive and negative evaluation from the respondents toward the study item variables that need to be fixed. They are:
- 2. Compensation given by PTQST still needs to be fixed such as low wages and incentive.
- 3. Control internal system need to be fixed is surveilance sytem and skillful employees.
- 4. The conclusion of this research is test hypotheses and empirical data are proven significant. There are three hypotheses proven, that are compensation influences project value completion percentage, overhead expense influences project value completion percentage and control internal system influences project value completion percentage.

Reference:-

- 1. Bartol, Kathryn, M. David c. Martin 1991. Management .Ney York Mc Grow-Hill Inc.
- Bekker, M. C., & Steyn, H. (2008, July). The impact of project governance principles on project performance. Management of Engineering & Technology, 2008. PICMET 2008. Portland International Conference, Cape Town, South Africa.
- 3. Bernadin ,H.John , Joice E.A. Russell (2003). Human Resource Management: Experential Approach.Singapore : McGrow-Hill, Inc.
- 4. Brook, M (2008) .Estimating and tender for contruction work. Elsevier.Oxford
- 5. Carrell, Michael R.; Frank E Kuzmits (1982) Personel Management: Management of Human Resources. Scolombus Ohio: Charles E Merrill Publishing Company.
- 6. Chichernia, V 2009 .The Executive support system as innovative tools for evaluation of Financial project, Journal of Information Management .
- 7. Crawford, L., Cooke-Davies, T, Hobbs, B., Labuschagne, L., Remington, K., & Ping C. (2008).
- 8. Coombs, W.E, and Palmer, W.J.(1995) "Contruction accounting and financial management 5th edition, Mr Grow-Hill, New York.
- 9. Earl K Stice, James D Stice, and K.FredSkausen, (2009) Intermediate Accounting.Cengange Learning Asia Pte Ltd. Singapore
- 10. Egan J (1988) Rethinking contruction the report of contruction task force to the deputy Prime Minister, John Prescott, on the scope for improving the quality and efficiency of UK Contruction. London
- 11. Elswy, L. Hosny, H. and Abdel RazekM.(2011), " A neural network model for contruction projects site overhead cost estimating in Egypt "IJCSI Journal of computer science issues.

- 12. Fremgen, James M (2008). Accouting for Management Analysis. Second edition. Malaysia. Irwin Book Company
- 13. Greenberg, Jerald, Robert A and Baron .1995. Behavior in Organizations : Understanding and Management and The Human Side of Work .London Prentice Hall , Inc.
- 14. Governance and support in the sponsoring of projects and programs. Project Management Journal, 39, S43-S55.
- 15. Garrison , Ray h. (2005). Management Accounting. Edition 4. Plano :Businees Publication , Inc
- 16. Hacker, M. Robinson I and Stafham G (2006). The AquaGroupGuide to Procurement Tendering, and Contract Administration, Balckell Publishing Oxford
- 17. Kell, Walter G. and Rechard E Zeigler (2010) Modern Auditing, Warren, Gorham and Lamout , Boston and New York.
- 18. LijanPoltakSinambela (2016). ManajemenSumberDayaManusia.PT.BumiAksara, Jakarta,Indonesia
- 19. Neuner, John W., Ulrich J Neuner (2002). Fifth Edition, International Textbook Company Scranton, Pennsylvania
- 20. Mulyadi ((2002) .AkuntansiBiaya .Universitas Gajah Mada, UPP STIM YKPN Yokyakarta, Indonesia
- 21. Mary Zack (2007). Reporting by Defined Benefit of Pension Plans of investment Contract. Canada
- 22. Miller, R., & Hobbs, B. (2005). Governance regimes for large complex projects. Project Management Journal, 36(3), 42–50.
- 23. Polimeni, Ralps S, Frank J. fabozzi ,Athur. H. AdelbergCost Accounting Concept and Applications for Managerial Decision Making . New York : Mc Graw-Hill Book Conpany, . 1986
- 24. Pryke, S. D. (2005). Towards a social network theory of project governance. Construction Management & Economics, 23(9), 927–939.
- 25. Pye, A. (2002). Corporate directing: Governing, strategising and leading in action. Corporate Governance: An International Review, 10(3), 153–162.
- 26. Sharma, D., Stone, M, & Ekinci, Y. (2009). IT governance and project management: A qualitative study. Journal of Database Marketing & Customer Strategy Management, 16(1), 29–50.
- 27. Smith, J and Jagger, D (2007) Building Cost Planning for the Design Team. Butterworth Heinermann, Oxford
- Talby, D., &, Dubinsky, Y. (2009, May). Governance of an agile software project. Software Development Governance, 2009. SDG '09. Cape Town, South AfricaTurner, J. R. (2009). The handbook of project based management: Leading strategic change in organizations, New York: McGraw-Hill.
- 29. Williams, T., Klakegg, O. J., Magnussen, O. M. & Glasspool H. (2010). An investigation of governance frameworks for public projects in Norway and the UK. International Journal of Project Management, 28(1), 40–50.
- 30. Yaling, D., & Yilin, Y. (2010, January). Effect of governance on management in public project. Logistics Systems and Intelligent Management, 2010 Harbin, China
- 31. ZakiBaridwan ,Msc., Akuntan (1991). SistemAkuntansi. BPFE- Yokyakarta, Indonesia